

Attendance

Councillors

Cllr Anwen Muston (Chair)
Cllr Gillian Wildman (Vice-Chair)
Cllr Lovinyer Daley
Cllr Celia Hibbert
Cllr Rashpal Kaur
Cllr Phil Page
Cllr Andrew Randle
Cllr Tersaim Singh
Cllr Jacqueline Sweetman
Cllr Wendy Thompson

Employees

Donna Cope	Democratic Services Officer
Stephen Alexander	Head of Planning
Stuart Evans	Solicitor
Martyn Gregory	Section Leader
Jobe Elwell	Planning Officer
Phillip Walker	Senior Planning Officer
Vijay Kaul	Senior Planning Officer
Tim Philpot	Professional Lead - Transport Strategy

Part 1 – items open to the press and public

Item No. *Title*

1 Apologies for absence

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Olivia Birch and Councillor Jonathan Yardley.

2 Declarations of interest

There were no declarations of interest.

3 Minutes of the previous meeting

Resolved:

That the minutes of the previous meeting held on 15 November 2022 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

4 **Matters Arising**

There were no matters arising.

5 **22/01099/FUL - 116 Linden Lea, Wolverhampton, WV3 8BE**

The Committee considered a report regarding 22/01099/FUL - Proposed two storey roof extension, single storey rear extension, new porch, boundary treatment & landscape works.

Stephen Alexander, Head of Planning, outlined the report.

Mr Robert Grant addressed the Committee and spoke in opposition to the application.

The Head of Planning responded to comments made and explained that the proposals were acceptable.

The report was debated by Committee, and concerns were raised regarding the proposed extension and the negative impact it could have on the surrounding area.

Councillor Thompson moved that the application be refused.

Councillor Hibbert seconded the motion.

The proposed motion was debated.

Resolved:

That planning application 22/01099/FUL be refused for the following reasons:

- Out of character and appearance contrary to TNP policy 12A.
- Inadequate size of rear garden in respect of the size of the development.
- Materials to be used in relation to the development are incompatible with the character of the area.

Councillor Tersaim Singh joined the meeting.

6 **22/01493/FUL & 22/01494/LBD - Former Porters Lodge, Royal Hospital Development Site, Cleveland Road, Wolverhampton**

The Committee considered a report regarding 22/01493/FUL & 22/01494/LBD - Proposed extensions and alterations to the former Porters Lodge building.

Phil Walker, Senior Planning Officer, outlined the report.

Councillor Zee Russell addressed the Committee and spoke in support of the application.

Councillor Page moved the recommendations within the report and Councillor Randle seconded the recommendations.

Members of the Committee welcomed the application and supported the proposals.

Resolved:

1. That planning application 22/01493/FUL be granted subject to conditions, including submission and approval of external materials, large scale architectural details and provision of bin storage.
2. That planning application 22/01494/LBD be granted subject to conditions, including submission and approval of external materials and large-scale architectural details.

7 22/01049/OUT - Land Behind 2 To 30 Eccleshall Avenue, Wolverhampton,

Planning application 22/01049/OUT had been withdrawn from Planning Committee so therefore was not considered.

8 22/01088/FUL & 22/01089/LBC - Heath Town Baths And Library, Tudor Road, Wolverhampton, WV10 0LT

The Committee considered a report regarding the following applications:

- 22/01088/FUL - Change of use from former Swimming Baths and Library to multi-use building including children's nursery, banqueting hall and community / function rooms.
- 22/01089/LBC - Listed Building Consent for new first floor extension, replacement roof, window refurbishment / replacement, installation of mechanical extraction equipment, external ramp, new boundary treatment, interior reconfiguration and installation of lifts and accompanying external works.

Vijay Kaul, Senior Planning Officer, outlined the report.

The proposals were debated by Committee and although the redevelopment of a derelict historic building was welcomed, concerns were raised regarding the proposed parking provisions.

The Senior Planning Officer responded to questions asked and explained that the proposed parking provisions were acceptable.

Councillor Page moved the recommendations within the report and Councillor Singh seconded the recommendations.

Resolved:

1. That planning application 22/01088/FUL be granted subject to the following conditions:
 - Define use class of building
 - Materials
 - Detailed roof / window design specification
 - Site levels
 - Parking layout implementation
 - Travel Plan
 - Charging Points

- Refuse management plan
- Car Park Management Plan
- Barrier/gate to restrict car park access only outside operational hours
- Construction Management Plan
- Traffic Regulation Order review
- Tree protection measures
- Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)
- Define opening times (nursery, community uses and banqueting facilities)
- Noise Management Plan
- Specific noise protection measures (inc glazing spec, noise limiter, acoustic treatment).
- External extract ventilation system
- Drainage
- Soft and hard landscaping
- Boundary details
- Accord with recommendations of ecology report
- External lighting
- Employment and Skills Plan

2. That planning application 22/01089/LBC be granted subject to the following conditions:

- Repair / schedule of works.
- Detailed specification of new lantern roof and windows

9 **22/01199/FUL - 4 Beach Avenue, Wolverhampton, WV14 9BS**

The Committee considered a report regarding 22/01199/FUL - Proposed conversion of 1No. 5-bed dwellinghouse into 1No. ground floor 1-bed apartment and 1No. first & second floor 2- bed apartment. With creation of three off-road parking spaces, subdivision of rear garden and new side staircase and first floor access.

Jobe Elwell, Planning Officer, outlined the report.

The proposals were considered by Committee and concerns were raised regarding the lack of parking provision and changing a family home to flats would be out of character with the area.

Councillor Hibbert moved that the application be refused.

Councillor Thompson seconded the motion.

The proposed motion was debated.

The Planning Officer responded to comments made and explained that the proposals were acceptable.

Councillors Page, Muston and Singh abstained from voting.

Resolved:

That planning application 22/01199/FUL be refused for the following reasons:

- Insufficient parking
- Character and appearance
- Need for family homes